

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/846,032	04/30/2001	Jonathan McFarland	884.424US1	4299
7590 04/19/2004		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			ULLAH, AKM E	
P.O. Box 2938			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402			2874	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 12 A1 B1-	Amplicant(a)
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
	09/846,032	MCFARLAND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Akm Enayet Ullah	2874
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	inication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the corresp ndence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re numinication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAs after the mailing date of this communication, even if ting.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>29 <i>January</i> 2004</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
	n for allowance except for formal matte ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
	e: a) accepted or b) objected to b jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand ng the correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	•	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/27/03</u> .		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2874

Detailed Action

Applicant cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Status of the Application

Claims 1-10 are pending in this application

Claims Rejection- 35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al (US Pub no. 2002/0097962 A1) in view of Stopperan (USPATNO. 5,428,190).

Regarding claim 1, Figure 33 of Yoshimura et al (US Pub no. 2002/0097962 A1) shows a cross-sectional view of a chip layer 350 attached to an active substrate 320. In the example shown, two types 351 and 352 are encapsulated in a dielectric film, and the active substrate 320 comprises a VCSEL emitter device 336 and a photo-detector device 328. The chip layer 350 may be laminated to the active substrate 320 by an isotropic conductive film 302. Such films are well known to the art. For details see page 22, col.2, last paragraph.

Regarding claim 2 wherein the anisotropic conductive film comprises an adhesive, anisotropic conductive film mentioned in page 22, col.2, last paragraph.

Regarding claim 3, wherein the adhesive, anisotropic conductive film comprises an epoxy and a plurality of conductive particles embedded in the epoxy mentioned in page 22, col.2, last paragraph.

Art Unit: 2874

Regarding claim 4, wherein the optically transmissive unit optically couples each of a plurality of optical transmitters to one or more optical receivers mentioned in page 22, col.2, last paragraph.

Regarding claim 5, wherein the optically transmissive unit optically couples each of a plurality of optical transmitters to one or more optical receivers mentioned page 22, col.2, last paragraph.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the optical transmissive unit which is optical couples with each of a plurality of optical transmitters to one or more optical receivers of Yoshimura et al (US Pub no. 2002/0097962 A1) in Stopperan for such connecting device since with both references being directed to a common use in the same environment, there is an implied suggestion for applying that teachings of one to the other. That is, the skilled worker who is pressured to have knowledge of the prior art, with these two references before him, would immediately recognize the desirability of employing the optically transmissive unit by Yoshimura et al (US Pub no. 2002/0097962 A1) to the connecting device of Stopperan, as claimed.

Regarding claim 6, wherein the optically transmissive unit has a transmission area that is substantially rectangular is an obvious choice design variation to use a rectangular shaped transmissive unit in Stopperan since figures 4 -5 of the reference clearly show that numeral 122, 124 is a rectangular units.

Art Unit: 2874

Regarding claim 7, wherein the anisotropic conductive film comprises an adhesive conductive film, which is mentioned in column 16 of the *Stopperan* reference.

Regarding claim 8, wherein the optically transmissive unit comprises an optical polymer is very elementary teachings in this optical connecting art as is also mentioned in *Stopperan* reference since the rigid circuit board numeral 122 & 124 can contain a flexible circuit board which itself is composed of multi-layers and three flexible polymeric substrate layers stated in column 17 last paragraph.

Regarding claim 9, wherein the optical polymer comprises an acrylic acryl ate is well known in this optical connector art.

Regarding claim 10, wherein the optically transmissive unit comprises a substantially cylindrical transmissive material is an obvious choice since using optical material polymer is well known it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that at the time the invention was made to use a substantially cylindrical optically transmissive material since column 18, lines 58-60 of the *Stopperan* reference stated that a modification can be done with standard and usual procedures for creating number of stacked layer on circuit boards...

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Akm Enayet Ullah whose telephone number is 703-308-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on 571-272-2361 from Monday through Wednesday to 5:30 am till 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick, can be reached on 571-272-2361. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Akm Enayet Ullah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2874

AUllah April 14, 2004